

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/747,692	10/747,692 12/30/2003		Daisuke Nakata	1095.1294	2846	
21171	7590	03/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700		LLP	TRAN, MICHAEL THANH			
		VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2827		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/747,692	NAKATA, DAISUI	NAKATA, DAISUKE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael t. Tran	2827					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	December 30, 2003 through	n May 26, 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal m	natters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6 and 9</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>3,4,7 and 8</u> is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ina/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
- 11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by tr	ie Examiner. Note the attac	ined Office Action of form P	10-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>031105</u> .	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT)	SELET RAN EXAMINER				

Application/Control Number: 10/747,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the Communications dated December 30, 2003 through May 26, 2004, claims 1-9 are active in this application.

Foreign Priority

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)
- (d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed December 30, 2003 has been considered.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 3, 4, 7, and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/747,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 2827

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, and 9 are rejected, as understood, under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by McClain et al. [U.S. Patent #6,731,536].

With respect to claim 1, McClain et al. disclose a semiconductor memory having a function of protecting data stored in a nonvolatile semiconductor memory, the semiconductor memory comprising: a volatile protection state specification section [volatile dynamic protection bits – see figure 1] for controlling the protection state of data in the nonvolatile semiconductor memory; and a nonvolatile initial state store section [Flash array program, erase, and protection logic – see figure 1]. See columns 1 and 2.

With respect to claim 2, McClain et al. disclose the section includes one bit and determines the protection state of data by one or zero [see Table A], further wherein the initial state store section includes one bit and determines the initial state by one or zero [see Table A].

With respect to claim 5, McClain et al. disclose the memory further comprising a volatile protection state lock section for locking the state of the protection state specification sections – PPB Lock bit.

With respect to claim 6, McClain et al. disclose the protection state lock section includes one bit and determines by one or zero whether to lock the protection state of data. See columns 1 and 2.

With respect to claim 9, McClain et al. disclose a security level is divided into two stages [volatile or non-volatile – see abstract and columns 1 and 2] on the basis of whether the protection state of data is locked by the protection state lock section, further wherein authentication with a password is performed to change the protection state of data from a locked state to a non-locked state – see columns 1 and 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of records does not show (in addition to the other elements in the claim) the following: the following:
 - Wherein the protection state specification section is located for each of sectors in the nonvolatile semiconductor memory, further wherein the initial state store section is located for each of the protection state specification sections.
 - Wherein the number of the initial state store section located for the plurality of the protection state specification sections is one.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,692 Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

 Further comprising a second nonvolatile initial state store section for determining an initial state of the protection state lock section.

Conclusion

8. When responding to the Office action, Applicants are advised to provide the Examiner with line and page numbers of the application and/or references cited to assist the Examiner in the prosecution of this case.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1795. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00 P.M.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1650.

Michael T. Tran Art Unit 2827 March 14, 2005

MICHAELTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER